## **POOR** QUALITY THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

OF

MILSON C. LATRAM

POR REVISION OR REFIND OF PERSONAL INCOME TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 16 OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE YEAR 1956.

application for revision or refund of personal income tenses under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the year 1956 and a bearing having been held in connection therevith at the effice of the State Tax Coumission at 80 Centre Street, New York City, N.Y. before Solomon Sies, Hearing Officer of the Department of Taxation and Finance, on May 29, 1964, at which hearing the texpaper was represented by Fred Malite, 18 Once Place, Cos Cob, Connecticut and the matter having been duly emmined and considered.

The State Tax Commission hereby finds:

- (1) That during the calendar year 1956 the taxpayer was taxable as a non-resident of the State of New York.
- (2) That during the calendar year 1956, the tampaper was employed by the New York, New Haven & Martford Railread Company as a conductor and received gross wages for the year 1956 in the sum of \$7,222.13; that the tampayer on certain days made two complete round trips from Stamford, Conn. to Grand Central Terminal; that the total miles from Stamford, Conn. to Grand Central Terminal is 33 miles of which 26 represents New York State miles and 7 represents Connecticut miles; that the total miles on two complete round trip runs consists of 132

miles of which 164 represents New York State miles and 26 represents Connecticut miles: that on certain other days the te spayor would only work one complete round trip from Stamford, Connecticut to Grand Central Terminal which amounts to a total mileage of 66 miles of which 52 represents New York State miles and 14, Connecticut miles; that the temperor was paid on a minimum basis of 150 miles per day regardless of whether the run actually consisted of 132 miles of 66 miles in that day; that the taxpayer verted 338 days during the year 1956; that the total mileage on actual runs during the year 1956 amounted to 37,884 miles, of which 29,848 miles represented total Nov York State mileage upon such suns; that the percentage of Nov York State mileage to the total mileage is 78.796; that the temperer received vacation pay during the year 1956 in the sum of \$355.05; that the taxpayer received the sum of \$466.20 for switching performed in Connectiont; that the temporer was paid for one day investigation work at Grand Control Sernimal in the sum of \$19.36; that the temperor was required to keep recepts and submit reports at the end of each der's week which was performed in Connectiont: that he received the sun of \$166.29 during the year 1996 for such services.

(3) That the temperor's representative contended that the salary received by the temperor should be apportioned by allocating to New York State only that part of the income as to the actual New York mileage bears to the total minimum daily basic mileage of 150 miles for which payment was received regardless of whether or not such minimum mileage was actually achieved in the daily runs; that the representative further combended that no parties of the vacation pay is to be attributable to New York, but is attributable to Commenticat and that the Yest Commission failed to give consideration to the own of \$166 in payment of the services of heeping recerts and submitting reports entirely in Connections.

-D-

- (4) That the temperer, as a negresident railread employee, was only entitled to apportion his income on a backs of actual mileage within and without New York State and was required to include in gross income that portion of his commensation which was paid for the portion of his run which was located within the State of New York parguant to Article 452 of the Personal Income Tax Regulations; that the total mileage for runs during the year 1956 was 37,884 and the total New York mileage from such runs was 29.546 or 78.705 of the New York mileage to the total mileage; that further the tempeyer was required to allocate his vacation pay to Now York sources in the ratio that his New York State income bere to his income from within and without the State; that the temperer, however, was entitled to exclude as out-of-state income the enount of \$466.20 received for switching performed in Connecticut (and proviously allowed by the State Tax Commission) and, in addition, an additional amount of \$466.20 for work performed in the maintenance of books and recepts in Commecticut.
- (5) That by reason of Findings Nos. (2) and (4) herein, the tempayor, during the year 1956, received temple grees income from sources within the State of Nov York in the sum of \$4,917,25 within the intent and meaning of Section 351-a of Article 16 of the Tax Law and Articles 452 and 470 of the Fersonal Income Tax Regulations.

Deposit upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tax Commission hereby DEPERMINES.

(A) That the tax due from the taxpayer berein, as a nemresident herein, is recomputed as follows:

Gross vaces pt. for yr. 1956 Loss vacation pay

Lose time pt. for switching and investigation (\$466,20 + \$19.36)

Loss amount for keeping records Actual wages attributable to runs

Compensation on Puns within W.Y. 78.79 X 85,882.29 or Plus amount for I day investigation work at Grand Control Toruinal

10. M.H 15. M.H

\$4,633.66

10.1

TOTAL

Ph. 653.00

To determine the taxable percentage of vacation pay, the ratio of total earnings, exclusive of vacation, namely \$6,834.05 is applied to Now York earnings, exclusive of vacation, \$4,653.02 or the taxable percentage of 68.10

68.10 X vacation pay \$388.08 = taxable vacation Total New York Income Loss optional deduction Net Income Loss personal exemption Taxable balance



## Taxable as follows:

\$1,000.00 at 25 \$916.86 at 35 Petal Less 155 forgiveness -7.17 Pax Due -7.05

Tax Withheld 857.93
Refund due taxpayer 17.33
Refund to taxpayer en
Yougher No. 7.61
Additional Refund due
taxpayer

49-22

(3) That on the basis of Determination (A) above, the temperar is entitled to an additional refund of \$9.72 and no more; that no further recomputation, resettlement or revision of the temperar's application for revision or refund with respect to the year 1956, except as indicated herein, is hereby denied; that the

sum of \$9.72 should be refunded to the taxpayer, AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

Bated: Albany, New York on this 12th day of March . 1965 .

STATE TAX CONCLESION

/s/

JOSEPH H. MURPHY

President

Company and and